arXiv:1402.1116v1 [math.NT] 5 Feb 2014

KUMMER CONGRUENCES ARISING FROM THE MIRROR SYMMETRY OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

ADELE LOPEZ

Abstract. In the genus 1 case, mirror symmetry reduces to the statement that a certain family of generating functions, relating to an elliptic curve, are quasimodular. In their proof of this fact, Kaneko and Zagier used a related family of generating functions $A_n(\tau)$, which they show to be quasimodular. We show that these A_n's also satisfy Kummer-type congruences. Additionally, we show that for a prime p, the pth power coefficients of A_n p-adically converge to zero, for specific values of n.

1. Introduction

Mirror symmetry is a special relation between Calabi-Yau manifolds. It concerns the generating function

$$F_g(t) = \sum_d N_{g,d} q^d, \tag{1.1}$$

which counts the (suitably adjusted) numbers $N_{g,d}$ of holomorphic curves of genus g and degree d on a Calabi-Yau manifold X. These $F_g(t)$ are symplectic manifold invariants of X. Mirror symmetry conjectures that these $F_g(t)$ have an alternative interpretation as complex manifold invariants of a family of 'mirror' Calabi-Yau manifolds Y_t. This has been proven in the case where the Calabi-Yau manifolds have genus 1, where both X and the Y_t's are elliptic curves. In this case, it reduces to the statement that these $F_g(t)$ have a modularity property, specifically, that they are quasimodular [Dij95].

The space of quasimodular forms $\widetilde{M}_*(\Gamma_1)$ of level 1 is the graded ring generated by the Eisenstein series E_2, E_4 and E_6, and graded by assigning weight k to E_k. If we replace $E_2(\tau)$ (which is not modular), with $E_2^*(\tau) = E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi \mathrm{Im}(\tau)}$ (which is modular, but not holomorphic), we obtain a weak harmonic Maass form, of which the quasimodular form is the holomorphic part. Kaneko and Zagier proved [KZ95] that the generating functions arising from the genus one case of mirror symmetry are quasimodular, along with a related set of generating functions, by considering the following generalization of the Jacobi theta function:

$$\Theta(X, q, \zeta) = \prod_{m>0} (1 - q^m) \prod_{\substack{n>0 \\ n \text{ odd}}} (1 - e^{n^2 X/8} q^{n/2} \zeta)(1 - e^{-n^2 X/8} q^{n/2} \zeta^{-1}) \tag{1.2}$$

as a formal power series in X and $q^{1/2}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta, \zeta^{-1}]$. A family of quasimodular forms, $A_n(q)$, may now be derived from this. Let $\Theta_0(X, q)$ be the coefficient of ζ^0 in $\Theta(X, q, \zeta)$

Date: February 6, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F30, 11F33; Secondary: 14J33.

The author thanks the NSF for their generous support.

considered as a Laurent series in ζ. Now expand $\Theta_0(X,q)$ as a Taylor series in X:

$$\Theta_0(X,q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(q) X^{2n}. \tag{1.3}$$

These $A_n(q)$'s are our objects of interest. They are quasimodular forms of weight $6n$ and level 1, as proved by Kaneko and Zagier in their paper. This fact can then be used to prove that the $F_g(\tau)$'s, which are the coefficients of X^{2g-2} in $\log \Theta_0$, are quasimodular [KZ95]. (We can think of these A_n's as 'counting' the number of not necessarily connected covers of an elliptic curve E, with Euler number $2-2g$ and degree d.) Here we prove that the A_n's (once normalized to have coprime integer coefficients) also satisfy Kummer-type congruences.

Example. For instance, letting $\overline{A}_n$ denote the normalized A_n, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_2(q) &= q^2 + 80q^3 + 1230q^4 + 9248q^5 + 46020q^6 + 174624q^7 + 549704q^8 + \cdots \\ &\equiv q^2 + 3q^5 + 4q^7 + 3q^{10} + 3q^{12} + 2q^{13} + q^{15} + q^{20} + 4q^{21} + 2q^{23} + \cdots \pmod 5\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_4(q) &= q^2 + 6560q^3 + 1673310q^4 + 98704448q^5 + 2504270340q^6 + \cdots \\ &\equiv q^2 + 3q^5 + 4q^7 + 3q^{10} + 3q^{12} + 2q^{13} + q^{15} + q^{20} + 4q^{21} + 2q^{23} + \cdots \pmod 5\end{aligned}$$

are congruent modulo 5, and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_3(q) &= q^2 + 728q^3 + 45990q^4 + 968240q^5 + 10876740q^6 + 81037296q^7 + \cdots \\ &\equiv q^2 + q^8 + 2q^5 + 6q^6 + 5q^8 + 3q^9 + q^{11} + 5q^{12} + 2q^{14} + 3q^{15} + \cdots \pmod 9\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_6(q) &= q^2 + 531440q^3 + 2176254990q^4 + 998066826848q^5 + \cdots \\ &\equiv q^2 + q^8 + 2q^5 + 6q^6 + 5q^8 + 3q^9 + q^{11} + 5q^{12} + 2q^{14} + 3q^{15} + \cdots \pmod 9\end{aligned}$$

are congruent modulo 9.

In general, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For a prime p and a positive integer s, if $2i \equiv 2j \pmod{\phi(p^s)}$, then for $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\overline{A}_i(q) \equiv \overline{A}_j(q) \pmod{p^s},$$

where ϕ is Euler's totient function.

Remark. These congruences are not a trivial consequence of the Kummer-type congruences that are well known to hold for the Eisenstein series. We will demonstrate this in the last section.

Remark. The author was unable to find a conceptual proof of this theorem from the theory of elliptic curves and mirror symmetry.

In addition to the relative congruences relating these quasimodular forms, the pth power coefficients tend p-adically to zero. This follows from Serre's theory of p-adic modular forms [Ser73]. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If $k \geq 1$ and p is a prime less than 7 or $6k \equiv 4, 6, 8, 10, 14 \pmod{p-1}$, then p-adically, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_k(p^n) = 0,$$

where $a_k(i)$ is the ith coefficient of $\overline{A}_k$.

Example. The 3rd power coefficients for $\overline{A}_3$ begin with:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_3(3^1) &= 728 &&= 2^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13,\\
a_3(3^2) &= 2028730080 &&= 2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 89 \cdot 281,\\
a_3(3^3) &= 1747100845087920 &&= 2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 617 \cdot 187275523,\\
a_3(3^4) &= 1249380857829754167840 &&= 2^5 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 794953 \cdot 824956519.
\end{aligned}$$

The increasing powers of 3 illustrate the fact that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_3(3^n) = 0$.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Ken Ono for suggesting this problem, and for his helpful advice.

2. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Here we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This result is a consequence of equation (5.9) in Dijkgraaf [Dij95]. For completeness, we will provide the full argument here.

We'll begin by considering the second product from our expression of $\Theta(X, q, \zeta)$ in (1.2). First, replace n with $r = n/2$, and factor it into two infinite products. This product becomes

$$\prod_{r\in I}(1 - e^{r^2X/2}q^r\zeta)\prod_{s\in I}(1 - e^{-s^2X/2}q^s\zeta^{-1}), \tag{2.1}$$

where I is the set of positive half-integers.

This product has a combinatorial interpretation. A term of this double product can be computed by taking two finite subsets A, B of I, and restricting the product to $r \in A$ and $s \in B$. For our purposes, we only need to consider the terms which are constant with respect to ζ, as these are the only terms used in the definition of the A_n's. These terms are precisely the ones for which $|A| = |B|$. Also, note that the exponent of q in the term is counting the combined sum of all the elements of A and B.

Consider pairs of sets $A, B \subset I$ with the same cardinality and the same combined sum d. We can construct a unique partition of d from each distinct pair of sets, and vice-versa. Thus, we can arrange the terms of interest into a sum over partitions as follows:

$$\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell\in\text{Partitions}(d)} e^{\lambda(\ell)X}q^d, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\lambda(\ell) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{r\in A} r^2 - \sum_{s\in B} s^2\right),$$

with A and B being the sets of half-integers corresponding to the partition ℓ.

Now, let's relate all this back to the A_n's. From the above reasoning, we can see that $\Theta_0(X,q)$ from (1.3) becomes

$$\Theta_0(X,q) = \prod_{m>0}(1-q^m)\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell\in\text{Partitions}(d)} e^{\lambda(\ell)X}q^d. \tag{2.3}$$

Expand the exponential as a Taylor series to get

$$\Theta_0(X,q) = \prod_{m>0}(1-q^m)\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell\in\text{Partitions}(d)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\lambda(\ell)^k X^k q^d. \tag{2.4}$$

Hence, we have that

$$A_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{(2n)!}\prod_{m>0}(1-q^m)\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell\in\text{Partitions}(d)}\lambda(\ell)^{2n}q^d. \tag{2.5}$$

Since for any odd integer a, $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, $\lambda(\ell)$ is always an integer. Considering pairs of a partition and its conjugate, we see that the sum of the $\lambda(\ell)^k$'s for the partitions of a given number will either be zero for odd k, and even for even k. Thus, the normalized A_n's are described by

$$\overline{A}_n(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\prod_{m>0}(1-q^m)\sum_{d=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell\in\text{Partitions}(d)}\lambda(\ell)^{2n}q^d. \tag{2.6}$$

It is simple to check that the coefficient of q^2 in $\overline{A}_n$ is one for every $n > 0$.

The theorem then follows from Euler's generalization of Fermat's little theorem.

□

The second theorem is a simple consequence of Serre's work on p-adic modular forms [Ser73].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since quasimodular forms are generated by E_2, E_4, and E_6, and since E_2 is a p-adic modular form of weight 2 [Ser73], it follows from Kaneko and Zagier [KZ95] that A_k is a p-adic modular form of weight $6k$. The theorem then follows from Theorem 7 in Serre [Ser73].

□

We now will demonstrate the fact that Theorem 1.1 is not a trivial consequence of the Kummer congruences for the Eisenstein series.

Example. Recall that $\overline{A}_2 \equiv \overline{A}_4 \pmod 5$. We will convert this into a relation of Eisenstein series. Since the quasimodular forms of level 1 are generated by the Eisenstein series E_2, E_4, and E_6, we can compute

$$\overline{A}_2(q) = \frac{-875E_2^6 + 2220E_2^4E_4 - 1791E_2^2E_4^2 + 1050E_4^3 + 580E_2^3E_6 - 1788E_2E_4E_6 + 604E_6^2}{447897600},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{A}_4(q) = (&-7072690625E_2^{12} + 29791020000E_2^{10}E_4 - 17984909250E_2^8E_4^2 - 41175027180E_2^6E_4^3 \\ &- 73855453833E_2^4E_4^4 + 692323272900E_2^2E_4^5 + 41478466500E_4^6 + 33993155000E_2^9E_6 \\ &- 298920573000E_2^7E_4E_6 + 920662991640E_2^5E_4^2E_6 - 1574832872088E_2^3E_4^3E_6 \\ &- 887970913200E_2E_4^4E_6 + 70320075000E_2^6E_6^2 - 283741244640E_2^4E_4E_6^2 \\ &+ 1785182642712E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 + 189036658800E_4^3E_6^2 - 189291716320E_2^3E_6^3 \\ &- 405118626528E_2E_4E_6^3 + 17175744112E_6^4)/60183678025728000.\end{aligned}$$

If we subtract these, renormalize, and reduce the coefficients of the polynomial in E_2, E_4, and E_6 modulo 5, we get the following:

$$2(E_2^4E_4^4 + E_2^3E_4^3E_6 + E_2^2E_4^2E_6^2 + E_2E_4E_6^3 + E_6^4) \equiv 0 \pmod 5.$$

This congruence follows from the fact that $E_4 \equiv 1 \pmod 5$ and $E_6 \equiv E_2 \pmod 5$. However, it is not obvious that $\overline{A}_2 \equiv \overline{A}_4 \pmod 5$ *a priori.*

References

[Dij95] Robbert Dijkgraaf, *Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 149–163. MR 1363055 (96m:14072)

[KZ95] Masanobu Kaneko and Don Zagier, *A generalized Jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 165–172. MR 1363056 (96m:11030)

[Ser73] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta p-adiques*, Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 191–268. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 350. MR 0404145 (53 #7949a)

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

E-mail address: adele.lopez@emory.edu